

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/964,097	09/26/2001	Craig Andrew Bayliss	JP920000296US1	3011	
23550	7590 04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			PESIN, BORIS M		
	3 E-COMM SQUARE ALBANY, NY 12207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
112211111, 111			2174	•	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1424		
1		Applicati n N	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/964,097	BAYLISS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Boris Pesin	2174		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address		
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS C	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thineriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Example drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	ndrawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement. miner.	by the Examiner.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar prection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachmei	• •				
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sler No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	dummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A Graphical User Interface for Displaying Results for a Retrieved Set of Data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 10-15, 17, 18, 20-25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stephens, Jr. (US 6636853).

In regards to claim 1, Stephens teaches a method of presenting a control on a computer user interface comprising: retrieving stored information on request by a user (i.e. "Data sources 312 through 322 include program instructions that interface with one or more search engines that retrieve information responsive to the user's query from computer systems associated with data sources 312 through 322." Column 6 Line 64 – Column 7 Line 1); selecting a control according to the nature of the information; and creating the control on the interface including the information (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in

Application/Control Number: 09/964,097 Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31).

In regards to claim 2, Stephens teaches a method wherein the control is selected from two or more control types according to the nature of the information (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31). There can be many different sizes of blocks in which the data is presented, the system has to figure out what size the blocks should be based on many different variables.

In regards to claim 3, Stephens teaches a method wherein each control is suited for use with information of a particular nature. (i.e. "The clustering algorithm may be performed by either client program instructions 302 or server program instructions 304. For example, assume 200 or more documents were found during a search. The clustering algorithm determines each group based on one or more categories of information, such as a combination of "size and date", and "same author and price".

Art Unit: 2174

The clustering algorithm also separates each group of documents into a set of subgroups of documents having similar attributes." Column 8, Line 27 – 35).

In regards to claim 4, Stephens teaches a method wherein the information comprises a plurality of records and the nature of the information relates to the number of records (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31).

In regards to claim 5, Stephens teaches a method wherein the control is selected according to the number of records comprising the information. (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes.

Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31). There can be many different sizes of blocks (i.e. controls) in which the data is presented, the system has to figure out what size the blocks should be based on many different variables.

Art Unit: 2174

In regards to claim 6, Stephens teaches a method a method wherein the selected control is suited for displaying the number of records comprising the information. (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31).

In regards to claim 7, Stephens teaches a method wherein the control is selected according to a threshold which relates to a quantity of records (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes.

Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31). The block size is chosen according to a threshold, or, a number of records within the set of results.

In regards to claim 8, Stephens teaches a method wherein the selected control is suited to displaying the number of records comprising the information (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster

Art Unit: 2174

represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes.

Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31).

Claim 10 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 11 is in the same context as claim 2; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 12 is in the same context as claim 3; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 13 is in the same context as claim 4; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 14 is in the same context as claim 5; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 15 is in the same context as claim 6; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 17 is in the same context as claim 7; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 18 is in the same context as claim 8; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 20 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 21 is in the same context as claim 2; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 22 is in the same context as claim 3; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 23 is in the same context as claim 4; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 24 is in the same context as claim 5; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 25 is in the same context as claim 6; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 27 is in the same context as claim 7; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 28 is in the same context as claim 8; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2174

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9, 16, 19, 16, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephens, Jr. (US 6636853) in view of Brandli et al. (US 5701469).

In regards to claim 9, Stephens teaches all the limitations of claim 8. He does not teach a method wherein the control is a combination box or a list box. Brandli teaches, "As shown in FIG. 2, the search result list box 202 currently contains the names of the files that contain the text string specified as the search criteria in edit field 203 after the user has pressed the "Find Now" button 204." (Column 5, Line 60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stephens with the teachings of Brandli and include a list box to display the retrieved information with the motivation to provide the user with more results on the screen.

Claims 16, 19, 16, and 29 are in same context as claim 9; therefore they are rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Conclusion

Page 9

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

6671693

Marple et al.

Teaches a system for effectively collecting and disseminating data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (703) 305-8774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wristine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100